

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL	(INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	ERY (CI)	
RE-INSPE	ECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O:	
AIRS ID#: 0250373 DATE: <u>5/26/2</u>	<u>010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:35 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:55 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SOUTH MIAMI READY MIX CONCRETE PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 735	5 SW 48TH ST			
MI	AMI 33155			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER PHONE: (954)425-4227				
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	TE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/22/				
(effecti	ve date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLI	ANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one box)		
	MINOR Non-COMP	·	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PARTI DEGRING/DECODDIZE		FDYING D. L. (2.20(.414.1	3 . 0	
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emissions tests of	onducted during this	site visit according to EPA M	Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter 	
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
b) During the visible emission	ons test, was the batchi	ing rate representative of the		
5. If emissions from the weigh h	nopper (batcher) opera	ation are controlled by a dust	collector, which is separate	
from the silo dust collector, a conducted while batching at a			rate and duration?	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRI (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	REMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching planemissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and the particulate matter from roads and the emissions?————————————————————————————————————	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: eas, stock piles, and yards? dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to eas to reduce airborne particulate matter?
 b) alterations to existing process equipment witho c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62- 	Yes ⊠ No out replacement? □Yes ⊠ No ly different than that noted on the most □Yes ⊠ No the owner submit a new and complete
FRANK DELGADO	5/26/2010
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
DUST COMPLAINT RECEIVED BY OUR OFFICE ON 5/2 ON SITE WE MET PAUL COLEMAN, THE FACILITY'S P WE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATI	

EVERY 2 TO 3 HOURS.

TWICE PER WEEK THEY SWEEP THE STREET IN FRONT OF THE FACILITY TO REDUCE DUST EMISSIONS. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD.